

Synthesis of 11β-Perfluorohexylestradiol

Vangelis Agouridas, Jean-Claude Blazejewski,* Emmanuel Magnier, and Matthew E. Popkin[†] SIRCOB, UMR CNRS 8086, Université de Versailles, 45 Avenue des Etats-Unis, 78035 Versailles, France

jcblaz@chimie.uvsq.fr

Received July 11, 2005

We report the synthesis of 11β -perfluorohexylestradiol **1e** using a perfluoroorganometallic reagent for the introduction of the fluorous part. This compound is useful for biological studies and for imaging the ER α estradiol receptor distribution in the whole cell by secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS). The key step of this synthesis involves the radical reduction of an 11β -oxalate derivative. The stereochemical outcome of this reaction was studied for a range of C11 substituents, and we attempted to rationalize the apparent abnormal behavior of the phenyl group.

Estrogens play a critical role in the growth, development, and maintenance of a diverse range of tissues. They exert their physiological effects via activation of the estrogen receptor (ER), which functions as a ligand-activated transcriptional regulator. The estrogen receptor, which is known to exist as two distinct subtypes, ER α and ER β , has become an enormously important target for chemotherapeutic drugs against certain reproductive cancers.

A wide repertoire of structurally distinct compounds bind to the ER with differing degrees of affinity and potency. Estrogens, such as estradiol **1a** (Chart 1), act solely as receptor agonists, whereas antiestrogens, such as fulvestrant **1b**, function as pure antagonists. A third category of compounds, termed selective ER modulators (SERMs) or partial antiestrogens, have the ability to act as agonists or antagonists, depending upon the exact cellular context and the ER isoform targeted.^{3,4} The latter category includes some compounds that are in clinical

CHART 1. Estrogens and Antiestrogens

1a $R_1 = H$, $R_2 = H$ estradiol

1b $R_1 = H$, $R_2 = (CH_2)_9S(O)(CH_2)_3C_2F_5$ fulvestrant

1c $R_1 = pC_6H_4O(CH_2)_5SO_2(CH_2)_3C_2F_5$, $R_2 = H$ RU 58668

1d $R_1 = H$, $R_2 = C_6 F_{13}$

1e $R_1 = C_6 F_{13}$, $R_2 = H$

use, such as tamoxifen 2 and raloxifene 3, which are administered for the treatment of osteoporosis and hormone-dependent breast cancer. The widespread use

 $^{^\}dagger \, \text{Present}$ address : Glaxo Smith Kline plc, Old Powder Mills, Tonbridge TN11 9AN, United Kingdom.

^{(1) (}a) Evans, R. M. Science 1988, 240, 889–895. (b) Green, S.; Chambon, P. Nuclear Hormone Receptors; Parker, M. G., Ed.; Academic Press: London, 1991; Chapter 2, pp 15–38.

^{(2) (}a) Kuiper, G., G. J. M.; Enmark, E.; Pelto-Huikko, M.; Nilsson, S.; Gustafsson, J.-Å. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1996, 93, 5925–5930. (b) Ogawa, S.; Inoue, S.; Watanabe, T.; Hiroi, H.; Orimo, A.; Hosoi, T.; Ouchi, Y.; Muramatsu, M. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1998, 243, 122–126. (c) Kuiper, G. G. J. M.; Carlsson, B.; Grandien, K.; Enmark, E.; Häggblad, J.; Nilsson, S.; Gustafsson, J.-Å. Endocrinology 1997, 138, 863–870.

⁽³⁾ Wijayratne, A. L.; Nagel, S. C.; Paige, L. A.; Christensen, D. J.; Norris, J. D.; Fowlkes, D. M.; McDonnell, D. P. *Endocrinology* **1999**, *140*, 5828–5840.

^{(4) (}a) Jordan, V. C. *J. Med. Chem.* **2003**, *46*, 883–908. (b) Jordan, V. C. *J. Med. Chem.* **2003**, *46*, 1081–1111.

Agouridas et al.

of these drugs for the treatment of ER-positive breast cancer, both in the advanced disease and as an adjuvant therapy, has demonstrated the utility of partial antiestrogens. Unfortunately, following an initial period of effective clinical application, most breast cancers will begin to develop resistance to this therapy after a few months.³ Consequently, the development of more effective pharmaceutical agents for the treatment of estrogen-receptor-positive breast cancer, and the elucidation of this inactivation process, remains essential.

The solution of the crystal structure of the ligand binding domain of ER complexes with various estrogens has helped illuminate the molecular basis for estrogenic or antiestrogenic activity.⁵

At the same time, ligand binding domain complexes with partial antiestrogens such as raloxifene 3 have also been crystallized. ^{5,6} The picture of antiestrogenic activity that has emerged suggests the key is the displacement of the 12 helix of the ligand binding domain by the "side chain" of the antiestrogens, which occupy what would be the 11β position in estradiol 1a. ⁶

Recently, the emergence of new pure antiestrogens, antagonists devoid of any estrogenic activity, has raised the hope for pharmaceuticals of increased effectiveness. Two such antiestrogens, RU 58668 1c (11β -substituted)⁷ and ICI 182,780 1b (a 7α -substituted steroid, fulvestrant as generic name),⁸ neatly encapsulate the findings of ER structure—activity relationships.⁹

Prior to the establishment of the exact mode of estradiol binding to the ER, a detailed pharmacophore had already emerged from the wealth of structure-binding affinity relationships that had been acumulated.9 It has been repeatedly shown that the 11β and 7α positions of the steroid may be substituted by large, nonpolar groups without a loss of affinity.9 In fact, certain compounds, such as RU 58668 1c and fulvestrant 1b cited above, can show superior binding affinity. It is assumed, though unproven, that these pure antiestrogens demonstrate the ability to displace the same H12 helix as the partial antiestrogens tamoxifen 2 and raloxifene 3.6 The equivalency of positions 7α and 11β was further demonstrated in the case of fulvestrant 1b; this derivative undergoes a complete rotation of its steroid core so that its 7α long chain can be accommodated inside the ligand binding cavity of ER β . 10

The introduction of highly fluorinated chains into the estradiol nucleus in the key 7α or 11β positions could give compounds useful for mapping the distribution of the

SCHEME 1a

^a (a) C₆F₁₃I, CuCl, ethanolamine, tert-butyl alcohol, 80 °C, 8 h.

receptor ER α -substrate complex in the cell by ionic microscopy (SIMS).¹¹ We have recently published our work in this area concerning the preparation of 7α -perfluorohexylestradiol 1d.¹² On the basis of the new results described above, we report here the synthesis of 11β -perfluorohexylestradiol 1e.

We initially chose to prepare the requisite compound **1e** by perfluoroalkylation of a readily available 9(11)-dehydroestradiol derivative **4**. ¹³ Not too unexpectedly for a sterically crowded double bond, attempts to introduce the perfluorohexyl moiety by a radical chain reaction with perfluorohexyliodide failed under a variety of conditions and initiators. ¹⁴

Use of the conditions described by Burton¹⁵ (CuCl, ethanolamine, *tert*-butyl alcohol) gave incomplete success. Although a fluorinated product was isolated (albeit in a modest 18% yield), its structure was determined to be that of an 11α -perfluorohexyl-8(9)-dehydroestradiol derivative 5 (Scheme 1), useless for our purpose.¹⁶

More gratifying results were obtained with FITS-6, tridecafluorohexylphenyliodonium trifluoromethanesulfonate (PhI(C_6F_{13})(CF_3SO_3)), as an electrophilic perfluoralkylating agent.¹⁷ Using pyridine as a base,¹⁸ we observed the formation of a mixture of the dibenzylated 11-perfluorohexyl-9(11)-dehydroestradiol **6** (46%)¹⁹ and the 8(9)-dehydroestradiol derivative **5** (3.5%) already described in the previous experiment (Scheme 2).

⁽⁵⁾ Brzozowski, A. M.; Pike, A. C. W.; Dauter, Z.; Hubbard, R. E.; Bonn, T.; Engström, O.; Öhman, L.; Greene, G. L.; Gustafsson, J.-Å.; Calquist, M. Nature 1997, 398, 753–758.

⁽⁶⁾ Pike, A. C. W.; Brzozowski, A. M.; Hubbard, R. E.; Bonn, T.; Thorsell, A.-G.; Engström, O.; Ljunggren, J.; Gustafsson, J.-Ä.; Calquist, M. *EMBO J.* **1999**, *18*, 4608–4618.

⁽⁷⁾ Van de Velde, P.; Nique, F.; Bouchoux, F.; Brémaud, J.; Hameau, M.-C.; Lucas, D.; Moratille, C.; Viet, S.; Philibert, D.; Teutsch, G. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 1994, 48, 187–196.

^{(8) (}a) Wakeling, A. E.; Bowler, J. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 1992, 43, 173–177. (b) Howell, A.; Osborne, C. K.; Morris, C.; Wakeling, A. E. Cancer 2000, 89, 817–825. (c) Robertson, J. F. R.; Come, S. E.; Jones, S. E.; Beex, L.; Kaufmann, M.; Makris, A.; Nortier, J. W. R.; Possinger, K.; Rutqvist, L.-E. Eur. J. Cancer 2005, 41, 346–356.

⁽⁹⁾ Anstead, G. M.; Carlson, K. E.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A. Steroids 1997, 62, 268–303.

^{(10) (}a) Pike, A. C. W.; Brzozowski, A. M.; Walton, J.; Hubbard, R. E.; Thorsell, A.-G.; Li, Y.-L.; Gustafsson, J.-A.; Carlquist, M. *Structure* **2001**, 9, 145–153. (b) Tedesco, R.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A.; Napolitano, E. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1997**, 7, 2919–2924.

^{(11) (}a) Chandra, S. Appl. Surf. Sci. 2003, 203–204, 679–683. (b) Guerquin-Kern, J.-L.; Coppey, M.; Carrez, D.; Brunet, A. C.; Nguyen, C. H.; Rivalle, C.; Slodzian, G.; Croisy, A. Microsc. Res. Tech. 1997, 36, 287–295.

⁽¹²⁾ Blazejewski, J.-C.; Wilmshurst, M. P.; Popkin, M. E.; Wakselman, C.; Laurent, G.; Nonclercq, D.; Cleeren, A.; Ma, Y.; Seo, H.-S.; Leclercq, G. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2003**, *11*, 335–345.

⁽¹³⁾ Labaree, D. C.; Zhang, J.-x.; Harris, H. A.; O'Connor, C.; Reynolds, T. Y.; Hochberg, R. B. J. Med. Chem. 2003, 46, 1886–1904. (14) (a) Dolbier, W. R., Jr. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 1557–1584. (b) Dolbier, W. R., Jr. In Organofluorine Chemistry: Fluorinated Alkenes and Reactive Intermediates; Chambers, R. D., Ed.; Topics in Current Chemistry Vol. 192; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1997; pp 97–163. (c) Brace, N. O. J. Fluorine Chem. 1999, 93, 1–25.

^{(15) (}a) Burton, D. J.; Kehoe, L. J. J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 1339–1342. (b) Burton, D. J.; Kehoe, L. J. J. Org. Chem. 1971, 36, 2596–2599

⁽¹⁶⁾ The 11 α structure of 5 was confirmed a posteriori when the stereochemistries of compounds 1e and 12 were unambiguously determined. In this work, 11 α -substituted estradiol derivatives showed consistently negative optical rotations, whereas those of 11 β compounds are positive. Moreover, the angular methyl group appears as a sharp singlet in ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of 11 α derivatives, and as a broad line or a triplet in the 11 β -perfluoroalkylated spectra.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Umemoto, T.; Kuriu, Y.; Shuyama, H.; Miyano, O.; Nakayama, S.-i. J. Fluorine Chem. 1986, 31, 37–56.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Umemoto, T.; Kuriu, Y.; Nakayama S.-i. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1982**, 23, 1169–1172.

⁽¹⁹⁾ The 9(11)-dehydro structure of **6** mainly relies on the presence of an isolated AB system for protons H12 α and H12 β (δ 2.31 and 2.35, respectively) identified by HCOR and DEPT (δ C12 = 40.8 ppm), and on the absence of coupling with other protons in a COSY experiment. The signals corresponding to these protons, although partially obscured, were more apparent in the debenzylated derivative **7** of **6** (δ 2.02 and 2.35 ppm, J=14.6 Hz) and showed no further coupling.

SCHEME 2a

4 (see Table 1)

BO

$$6 R = Bn$$
 91%
 $7 R = H$

 a (a) FITS-6, CH₂Cl₂, additive (see Table 1), rt, 2 h; (b) H₂, 10% Pd/C, MeOH, rt, 14 h.

TABLE 1. Perfluoroalkylation of 9(11)-Dehydroestradiol Dibenzyl Ether 4 with FITS-6

entry	additives (equiv) a	6 (%) ^b	5 (%) ^b	$4(\%)^{b}$
1	pyridine (1)	46	3.5	18
2	${}^{n}Bu_{4}NI (1.1)$	3.5	3.5	80
3	H ₂ O (1.1), NaHCO ₃ (1.1)	4	34	24
4	$Et_3SiH(5)$	4	4	75^c
5	$Et_3SiH (2.5), dBMP^d (2.5)$	11	34	27

 a One equivalent of 4 and 1.1 equiv of FITS-6 were used in all experiments, rt, 2 h. b Isolated yield. c 4 was reduced to estradiol dibenzyl ether. d 2,6-Di-tert-butyl-4-methylpyridine.

Attempts to capture the putative carbocationic intermediate with various nucleophiles were unsuccessful (Table 1) but showed interesting variations in the distribution of the elimination products. In particular, changing the base from pyridine (entry 1) to sodium bicarbonate (entry 3) or a non-nucleophilic amine (entry 5) inverted the relative proportions of **6** and **5**.

Unfortunately, the double bond in 6 proved to be unreactive under a variety of catalytic as well as ionic reduction conditions.

We next turned to a route devised by Napolitano et al. 20 involving the condensation of an organometallic derivative with a 11-ketoestradiol followed by deoxygenation of the resulting alcohol. In this way, reaction of perfluorohexylmagnesium bromide 21 with the 9α -ketone $8^{13,20}$ readily afforded the perfluoroalkylated tertiary alcohol 9 in 51% isolated yield (Scheme 3).

The next step, deoxygenation of alcohol **9**, proved to be troublesome. Not unexpectedly, in view of the destabilizing influence of a perfluoroalkylated chain on an adjacent incipient carbocation, ²² this reaction failed under the usual ionic protocol using boron trifluoride-diethyl etherate and triethylsilane even under forcing conditions. ²⁰ The formation of a conventional alcohol derivative suitable for further reduction (xanthate²³ or pentafluorophenylthionocarbonate) ²⁴ was impeded by the thermal instability of the intermediate alcoholate, and also by the sluggish reactivity of this encumbered and quite acidic alcohol at low temperature. We thus observed that the lithium or potassium alcoholate derived from **9** reverts

SCHEME 3a

BnO 8 BnO 8 BnO 8 BnO 8 BnO 8 BnO 9 R = H

41% 10 R = C(O)C(O)OMe

75% C

$$C_6F_{13}$$
 C_6F_{13}
 C_6F_{1

 a (a) $C_6F_{13}MgBr,\,Et_2O,\,-60$ °C, 2 h; (b) (i) $^nBuLi,\,THF/hexane,\,-60$ °C, 10 min, (ii) methyl chlorooxoacetate, -60 °C to room temperature, 1 h; (c) $Bu_3SnH,\,AIBN,$ toluene, reflux, 14 h; (d) $H_2,\,10\%$ Pd/C, MeOH, rt, 14 h.

to a mixture of the 9α -ketone 8 and its 9β epimer, ²⁵ by elimination of the perfluoroalkyl group, at temperatures above -20 °C.

Although we were able to prepare the corresponding trifluoroacetate (BuLi, (CF₃CO)₂O, -78 °C, 30% yield), no fluorinated product could be isolated after workup (aqueous HF) of the reduction mixture (Ph₂SiH₂, (*t*-BuO)₂, 120 °C, 20 h).²⁶ Recently, Stéphan et al. were faced with a cognate problem in the androstene series, and elegantly solved it by reduction of an oxalate derivative.²⁷ However, they observed that the reduction was accompanied by respectable amounts of elimination and/or rearrangement products.

In our case, the intermediate oxalate 10 was obtained with a modest (41%) isolated yield, but we were pleased to find that the ensuing reduction proceeded quite cleanly, giving a 50:50 mixture of the epimeric 11perfluorohexylestradiols 11a and 11b in 75% isolated yield, the recovery of the starting alcohol 9 accounting for the remaining mass balance. No elimination product **5** or **6** could be detected in this reaction. The two isomers 11a and 11b could be easily separated by chromatography. Further deprotection of the benzyl ether groups by catalytic hydrogenation (10% Pd/C, H2) proceeded uneventfully to give 11\beta-perfluorohexylestradiol 1e (94\%) yield) as well as its 11α isomer 12, thus achieving the projected synthesis. Assignment of the stereochemistry at center 11 by NMR with both isomers at hand was relatively straightforward. In compound 12, the H9 proton could be located at δ 2.31, and appears as a triplet with a coupling constant of 10.2 Hz, pointing to a trans relationship with both H8 and H11. In compound 1e, the H9 proton appears at δ 2.81 as a doublet of doublets (J= 10.5 and 4.2 Hz), as expected for a trans relationship with H8 and a cis relationship with H11. Moreover, in this later compound, the angular methyl group (δ 0.78)

⁽²⁰⁾ Tedesco, R.; Fiaschi, R.; Napolitano, E. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 5316-5318.

⁽²¹⁾ Burton, D. J.; Yang, Z.-Y. Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 189-275.

⁽²²⁾ van Alem, K.; Belder, G.; Lodder, G.; Zuilhof, H. J. Org. Chem. **2005**, 70, 179–190 and references therein.

⁽²³⁾ Barton, D. H. R.; Parekh, S. I.; Tse, C.-L. Tetrahedron Lett. **1993**, 34, 2733–2736.

^{(24) (}a) Barton, D. H. R.; Blundell, P.; Dorchak, J.; Jang, D. O.; Jaszberenyi, J. Cs. *Tetrahedron* **1991**, 47, 8969–8984. (b) Barton, D. H. R.; Dorchak, J.; Jaszberenyi, J. Cs. *Tetrahedron* **1992**, 48, 7435–7446.

⁽²⁵⁾ Liang, C. D.; Baran, J. S.; Allinger, N. L.; Yu, J. H. *Tetrahedron* **1976**, *32*, 2067–2069.

 $^{(26)~\}rm{Kim}, J.-G.; Cho, D.~H.; Jang, D.~O.~Tetrahedron~Lett.~\bf{2004}, 45, 3031-3033.$

⁽²⁷⁾ Lecomte, V.; Stéphan, E.; Rager, M.-N.; Jaouen, G. J. Org. Chem. **2004**, *69*, 3216–3219.

Agouridas et al.

CHART 2a

is broadened by space coupling with the fluorine atoms of the 11β -perfluorohexyl side chain.

We were intrigued by the lack of stereoselectivity observed during the reduction step of the fluorinated alcohol 9. On the basis of ample evidence in the estradiol series,²⁰ attack of bulky reagents at the 11 position occurs mainly by the less hindered α face of the steroid nucleus, as exemplified here by the condensation of perfluorohexylmagnesium bromide with ketone 8 leading exclusively to the 11β -alcohol **9**. Moreover, it has been shown that, in the sugar series, the reduction of a related oxalate occurs with full inversion of the fluorinated center;²⁸ we thus expected the same behavior in our particular case. To gain some insight into the stereochemical outcome of this reaction in our system, we also investigated the reduction of oxalate derivatives of the 11α-allyl alcohol **13a**, ¹³ and of the 11 α -phenyl alcohol **13b** (Chart 2). ²⁰

The reduction of oxalate 14a was fully selective toward the 11β -allylestradiol derivative 15a; 13 no 11α epimer could be detected within experimental error.²⁹ A contrasting result was obtained with the phenyl oxalate derivative 14b. In this case, we observed a 92:8 ratio in favor of the α stereoisomer **15b** (Chart 2). It appears that, under the conditions used here, the behavior of a perfluorohexyl group is intermediate between that of an allyl and a phenyl group.

Two factors must be accounted for in order to explain the stereoselectivity observed during the reduction of oxalates 10, 14a, and 14b: the steric bulk of the substituent on one hand and the rate of hydrogen abstraction by the intermediate radical on the other hand.

With regard to the first point, perfluoroalkyl chains may be considered bulky, rigid rods.³¹ Insofar as it may be envisaged that the steric interactions involved here are confined to the beginning of the chain, it is noteworthy that a trifluoromethyl group has repeatedly been

R₂

$$R_1$$
 R_1
 R_2
 R_2
 R_1
 R_1
 R_2
 R_2
 R_3
 R_4
 R_1
 R_2
 R_2
 R_3
 R_4
 R_5
 R_6
 R_1
 R_2
 R_2
 R_6
 R_1
 R_2
 R_2
 R_3
 R_6
 R_1
 R_2
 R_3
 R_6
 R_1
 R_2
 R_3
 R_6
 R_1
 R_2
 R_3
 R_6
 R_1
 R_2
 R_3
 R_3
 R_6
 R_1
 R_2
 R_3
 R_3
 R_4
 R_5
 R_5
 R_6
 R_6
 R_7

FIGURE 1. Partially fluorinated radicals.

claimed to be as large as an isopropyl group in 1,3-diaxial interactions in cyclohexane as well as in other systems.³² The bulk of a longer fluorinated chain may be thought to be slightly larger and thus closer to that of a phenyl group in this context.33

As expected for a nonsterically demanding group, the reduction of the allyl compound 13a was fully stereoselective. But at this stage, the only apparent factor that could explain the diverging behavior between the perfluorohexyl group and the phenyl group appears to be the difference in the rate of hydrogen abstraction from the hydrogen donor Bu₃SnH.

Thanks to their high electrophilic character, fully fluorinated radicals are widely used for the easy introduction of a perfluoralkyl chain onto aromatic or olefinic substrates.¹⁴ For the same reason, these radicals are also strong hydrogen atom abstractors.34 The behavior of partially fluorinated radicals 16a-d (Figure 1) is less well understood.

Thus it was shown that the radical 16a formed from 2,2,2-trifluoroethyliodide adds with difficulty to electronrich olefins.35 We have observed that tertiary trifluoromethylated radical 16b, derived from xanthates, reacted sluggishly with allyltributyltin under atypical radical reaction conditions.³⁶ In a related example, it has been demonstrated that the radical 16c is only 4.3 times more reactive for addition to olefins and 5.8 times more reactive for hydrogen abstraction than a conventional primary alkyl radical.³⁷ These observations point to a reduced electrophilicity of the radical center in these species compared to that of the perfluorinated series, and consequently a lower hydrogen abstraction rate.³⁸ However, these figures remain still higher than in the nonfluorinated case. In particular, the absolute hydrogen abstraction rate from tributyltin hydride by the benzyl radical was measured to be $3.6 \times 10^4 \, M^{-1} \, s^{-1}$ at 298 K.³⁹ The value reported for **16c** is $1.4 \times 10^7 \, \mathrm{M}^{-1} \, \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ at the same temperature,³⁷ pointing to a ca. 400-fold increased rate in favor of the partially fluorinated radical. For a more fluorinated (and thus more polar) radical like **16d**, this effect may be expected to be greater.³⁷

One may thus be tempted to attribute the observed stereoselectivities to the reactivity-selectivity prin-

^a Relative percentage of 11α and 11β isomers formed.

⁽²⁸⁾ Lavaire, S.; Plantier-Royon, R.; Portella, C. J. Carbohydr. Chem. **1996**, 15, 361-370.

⁽²⁹⁾ The major products formed, reminiscent of those described in the androstene case, resulted from an intramolecular cyclization of the allyl moiety with the oxalyl residue. $^{27,30}\,$

⁽³⁰⁾ Lecomte, V.; Stéphan, E.; Jaouen, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 1123-1126.

^{(31) (}a) Kirsch, P. Modern Fluoroorganic Chemistry: Synthesis, Reactivity, Applications; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2004. (b) Ellis, D. A.; Denkenberger, K. A.; Burrow, T. E.; Mabury, S. A. J. Phys. Chem. A 2004, 108, 10099-10106. (c) Liantonio, R.; Metrangolo, P.; Pilati, T.; Resnati, G. Cryst. Growth Des. 2003, 3, 355-361.

⁽³²⁾ Schlosser, M.; Michel, D. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 99–108.
(33) Eliel, E. L.; Wilen, S. H.; Mander, L. N. Stereochemistry of Organic Compounds; John Wiley & Sons: NewYork, 1994.

⁽³⁴⁾ Dolbier, W. R., Jr.; Rong, X. X. J. Fluorine Chem. 1995, 72, 235 - 240

^{(35) (}a) Cloux, R.; Kováts, E. sz. Synthesis 1992, 409-412. (b) Long, Z.-Y.; Chen, Q.-Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 8487–8490.

⁽³⁶⁾ Blazejewski, J.-C.; Diter, P.; Warchol, T.; Wakselman, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 859-861.

⁽³⁷⁾ Bartberger, M. D.; Dolbier, W. R., Jr.; Lusztyk, J.; Ingold, K. U. Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 9857-9880.

⁽³⁸⁾ The reactivity of such a radical could, however, be enhanced by the use of an α heteroatom substituent. See: (a) Gagosz, F.; Zard, Z. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 2655-2657. (b) Tournier, L.; Zard, S. Z. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 455-459.

⁽³⁹⁾ Franz, J. A.; Suleman, N. K.; Alnajjar, M. S. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 19-25.

SCHEME 4

ciple: the most reactive fluorinated radical is also the least selective.

To check this hypothesis, we also investigated the reduction of both compounds with a large excess of tributyltin hydride (80 equiv). Under these conditions, we observed an increase of the selectivity in the reduction of the fluorinated oxalate 10~(11a/11b = 20/80~vs~50/50) and practically no change with the phenyl derivative 14b~(15c/15b = 10/90~vs~8/92). Thus, in the case of the fluorinated radical, hydrogen abstraction competes effectively with radical inversion.

However, this hardly explains the very strong preference of the phenyl group for the α position upon radical reduction. It should be recalled that, by contrast, upon ionic reduction (BF₃, Et₂O/Et₃SiH) of the benzyl alcohol 13b, the 11α -phenyl group (like other common substituents) goes exclusively to the β position to give **15c** (Chart 2).²⁰ Although ionic reduction may not involve a true free carbocationic species, this shows that the phenyl group could be well-accommodated in the 11β position. On the other hand. Bu₃SnH may be considered bulkier than Et₃-SiH, and thus should show a stronger tendency to deliver its hydrogen atom from the less hindered a face of the steroid nucleus. One possible clue to these observations could be that the delivery of the hydrogen atom from Bu₃-SnH occurs directly to the nucleus of the phenyl group rather than to the crowded 11-benzylic center.³⁹ This hypothesis is consistent with the insensitivity of the selectivity to hydride concentration. The resulting semibenzene derivative thus obtained is then able to evolve to the more stable 11α-phenylestradiol by a hydrogen shift (Scheme 4).41

Contrary to the case of the phenyl oxalate, the stereochemical outcome of the radical reduction of the perfluoroalkylated oxalate 10 appears to be governed by a balance between kinetic and steric factors. With the proper choice of reaction conditions, we were able to form substantial amounts of the β isomer during the reduction, necessary for achieving the synthesis of the requisite 11β -perfluorohexylestradiol 1e. Biological testing of this substance is currently underway.

Experimental Section

 $11\alpha\text{-Tridecafluorohexyl-3,17}\beta\text{-dibenzyloxyestra-1,3,5(10),8(9)-tetraene (5).}$ A mixture of ethanolamine (0.11 mL, 1.82 mmol, 4.1 equiv), 9(11)-dehydroestradiol 4 (200 mg, 0.44 mmol), tBuOH (0.54 mL), CuCl (15 mg, 0.15 mmol, 0.35 equiv), and perfluorohexyliodide (490 $\mu\text{L}, 2.20$ mmol, 5 equiv) was stirred at 80 °C for 8 h. After dilution with saturated

 $NaHCO_3$ (5 mL), extraction with dichloromethane, and drying (MgSO₄), PTLC on silica gel (CH₂Cl₂:pentane 2:3) afforded pure 5 (62 mg, 18%).

 $^{1}\mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 0.62 (s, 3H), 1.43–1.77 (m, 4H), 2.04–2.23 (m, 4H), 2.42–2.72 (m, 3H), 3.58 (t, J=7.8 Hz, 1H), 3.96 (dt, J=27.0, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.42–4.51 (AB system, $J_{\mathrm{AB}}=12.1$ Hz, 2H), 4.95 (s, 2H), 6.69 (m, 2H), 6.99 (m, 1H), 7.17–7.36 (m, 10H). $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 12.4, 21.4, 26.7, 28.4, 28.6, 35.3, 36.3 (dd, $J_{\mathrm{CF}}=21$, 17 Hz), 44.5, 46.3, 70.0, 71.8, 87.7, 111.5, 114.4, 121.7, 122.7, 127.4, 127.5, 127.6, 128.0, 128.4, 128.6, 129.2, 137.0, 137.2, 138.9, 141.8, 157.0. $^{19}\mathrm{F}$ NMR (188 MHz, CDCl_3): δ –81.3 (t, J=9 Hz, 3F), -107.0 and -116.7 (AB system, J=276 Hz, 2F), -120.2 (m, 2F), -122.2 to -123.4 (m, 4F), -126.7 (m, 2F). MS pos. ESI (m/z): 791 [M + Na⁺], 722 [M + Na⁺ - CF_3]. [\alpha]^{25}_{\mathrm{D}} –86 (c 0.5, dichloromethane). HRMS: obsd 768.2280, calcd 768.2273 (C38H33O2F13).

11-Tridecafluorohexyl-3,17 β -dibenzyloxyestra-1,3,5(10),9(11)-tetraene (6). FITS-6 (327 mg, 0.49 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added in one portion to a solution of 9(11)-dehydroestradiol 4 (200 mg, 0.44 mmol) and pyridine (25 μ L, 0.44 mmol, 1 equiv) in dichloromethane (12 mL). After the mixture had been stirred for 2 h at room temperature, the reaction was quenched by the addition of water (10 mL). The organic layer was washed with water (2 × 5 mL), and dried over MgSO₄. After removal of the solvent, the residue was purified by PTLC on silica gel (CH₂Cl₂:pentane 2:3) to afford 5 (12 mg, 3.5%) (vide supra) and 6 (155 mg, 46%) as glasses.

 1 H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.94 (s, 3H), 1.30–1.89 (m, 6H), 2.02–2.19 (m, 3H), 2.55–2.70 (m, 3H), 3.64 (t, J=8.3 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (s, 2H), 5.08 (s, 2H), 6.80 (m, 2H), 7.20–7.45 (m, 11H). 13 C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 10.8, 24.3, 26.8, 28.1, 28.2, 40.8, 41.6, 42.2, 47.7, 69.9, 71.7, 87.2, 111.2, 112.9, 118.8, 127.4, 127.47, 127.52, 127.9, 128.3, 128.5, 129.2, 129.7, 136.5, 138.5, 140.0, 146.2, 159.0. 19 F NMR (188 MHz, CDCl₃): δ –81.3 (t, J=10 Hz, 3F), -100.5 and -103.2 (AB system, J=269 Hz, 2F), -118.1 (m, 2F), -123.5 (m, 4F), -126.6 (m, 2F). MS pos. ESI (m/z): 791 [M + Na⁺], 722 [M + Na⁺ - CF₃]. HRMS: obsd 768.2264, calcd 768.2273 (C₃₈H₃₃O₂F₁₃).

11α-Tridecafluorohexyl-3,17β-dibenzyloxyestra-**1,3,5(10)-trien-11\beta-ol (9).** Freshly distilled perfluorohexyliodide (3.24 mL, 15 mmol) was slowly added, at -60 °C under an argon atmosphere, to a 3 M solution of methylmagnesium bromide in diethyl ether (5 mL, 15 mmol). After being stirred for 30 min at -60 °C, followed by careful addition of a solution of ketone 8 (1.12 g, 2.40 mmol) in THF (5 mL), the mixture was stirred again for 2 h at −60 °C and allowed to reach room temperature. After addition of a saturated solution of ammonium chloride (10 mL), we filtered the mixture on a pad of Celite, and washed it with CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL). The organic phase was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted twice with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 20 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaHCO $_3$ solution (2 \times 10 mL) and water $(2 \times 10 \text{ mL})$, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by chromatography on a silica gel column (eluant: CH₂Cl₂) to afford 962 mg of 9 as a white solid (51% yield). Mp: 126.2-126.4 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.99 (s, 3H), 1.13–1.37 (m, 3H), 1.43– 1.78 (m, 7H), 1.94-2.06 (m, 1H), 2.13-2.25 (m, 2H), 2.55-2.58 (m, 3H), 3.40 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.41 - 4.51 (AB system,J = 12 Hz, 2H, 4.96 (s, 2H), 6.69 - 6.73 (m, 2H), 7.17 - 7.37(m, 10H), 7.83 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 13.5, 23.9, 26.0, 27.4, 28.2, 35.7, 42.0, 44.9, 46.1, 50.3, 69.9, 71.7, 81.2 (t, $J_{\text{CF}} = 21 \text{ Hz}$), 88.5, 110.9, 113.8, 127.4, 127.5, 127.6, 127.9, 128.4, 128.6, 129.1, 129.2, 131.3, 137.4, 138.9, 141.5, 156.8, ¹⁹F NMR (188 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -81.4 (t, J = 10 Hz, 3F), -111.3 and -115.7 (AB system, J = 286 Hz, 2F), -118.2 (m, 2F), -122.1 to -123.3 (m, 4F), -126.8 (m, 2F). IR (cm^{-1}, KBr) : 3615, 3026, 2939, 2862, 1613, 1577, 1450, 1234. MS pos. ESI (m/z): 825 [M + K⁺], 809 [M + Na⁺], 787 [M + H⁺]. $[\alpha]^{25}$ _D -15 (c 0.44, dichloromethane). Anal. Calcd for C₃₈H₃₇F₁₃O₃: C, 58.02; H, 4.48. Found: C, 57.92; H, 4.19.

⁽⁴⁰⁾ We greatly acknowledge one of the reviewers for this very interesting suggestion.

⁽⁴¹⁾ Maeda, H.; Huang, Y.; Hino, N.; Yamauchi, Y.; Ohmori, H. Chem. Commun. **2000**, 2307–2308.



General Procedure for the Preparation of Oxalates. A solution of n-butyllithium (0.6 mL, 1.2 M in hexane, 1.2 equiv) was added to a solution of the steroidal alcohol (0.59 mmol) in THF (2 mL), and cooled to -60 °C under an argon atmosphere. After stirring the solution for 10 min at -60 °C, we added methyl chlorooxoacetate (144 mg, 2 equiv). The mixture was allowed to reach room temperature, and was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) and water (2 mL). The organic phase was separated, washed with water and brine, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash chromatography of the residue (eluant: CH₂Cl₂) afforded the oxalate derivative.

Oxalic Acid 11α-Tridecafluorohexyl-3,17 β -dibenzyloxyestra-1,3,5(10)-triene-11 β -yl Ester Methyl Ester (10). Yield of 41%. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.97 (s, 3H), 1.29–1.79 (m, 10H), 1.93–2.15 (m, 2H), 2.57–2.73 (m, 3H), 3.54 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (dd, J = 15.7, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 3.93 (t, 3H), 4.58 (s, 2H), 5.07 (s, 2H), 6.81–6.83 (m, 2H), 7.30–7.48 (m, 10H), 7.68 (dd, J = 9.6, 3.4 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 12.3, 23.9, 25.5, 27.5, 28.2, 34.7, 40.0, 42.4, 46.6, 50.5, 53.6, 69.8, 71.8, 88.5, 90.6, 111.2, 113.4, 127.4, 127.5, 127.6, 127.9, 128.3, 128.5, 129.5, 137.2, 138.7, 141.5, 155.4, 156.9, 157.8. ¹⁹F NMR (188 MHz, CDCl₃): δ –81.3 (t, J = 10 Hz, 3F), –104.2 and –106.2 (AB system, J = 284 Hz, 2F), –117.2 to –128.7 (m, 8F).

General Procedure for the Radical Reduction of Oxalates. To a solution of the oxalate (0.15 mmol) in dry, degassed toluene (2 mL) were added Bu₃SnH (120 μ L, 0.45 mmol, 3 equiv) and AIBN (5 mg, 0.03 mmol, 0.2 equiv). The mixture was refluxed overnight. The solution was cooled and partitioned between CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) and water (5 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted twice with CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic extracts were washed with water (2 × 10 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by PTLC on silica gel (eluant: CH₂Cl₂/pentane 3/2).

11 β -Tridecafluorohexyl-3,17 β -dibenzyloxyestra-1,3,5(10)-triene (11a). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.92 (broad s, 3H), 1.05–1.26 (m, 3H), 1.37–1.64 (m, 5H), 1.79 (dd, $J=12.6,\ 2.1\ Hz,\ 1H),\ 1.94–2.02$ (m, 2H), 2.43 (d, J=14.7

Hz, 1H), 2.57 (m, 1H), 2.71–2.83 (m, 2H), 3.30 (t, $J_{\rm HF}=19.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.40 (t, J=6.9 Hz, 1H), 4.42–4.53 (AB system, J=12.3 Hz, 2H), 4.94 (s, 2H), 6.59–6.70 (m, 2H), 6.99 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.18–7.37 (m, 10H). $^{13}{\rm C}$ NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 13.5, 23.0, 27.3, 28.2, 30.6, 35.7, 38.1 (t, J=19 Hz), 38.4, 42.6, 48.5, 53.0, 69.8, 71.6, 89.5, 112.3, 114.4, 127.4, 127.5, 127.6, 127.9, 128.3, 128.5, 137.2, 138.6, 139.0, 156.4. $^{19}{\rm F}$ NMR (188 MHz, CDCl₃): δ –81.7 (t, J=9 Hz, 3F), –102.1 (m, 2F), –119.1 (m, 2F), –120.6 to –122.7 (m, 4F), –125.9 (m, 2F). MS pos. ESI (m/z): 819 [M + K]+, 793 [M + Na]+. [α] $^{25}{\rm D}$ 82.6 (c 0.38, dichloromethane). Anal. Calcd for C₃₈H₃₇F₁₃O₂: C, 59.22; H, 4.58. Found: C, 59.33; H, 4.53.

General Procedure for Catalytic Hydrogenations. To a solution of the steroid (0.045 mmol) in MeOH (2 mL) was added 10% Pd/C (6 mg). The substrate was hydrogenated overnight under 1 atm. The mixture was then filtered on Celite, and the filtrate was evaporated to afford the product.

11β-Tridecafluorohexyl-3,17β-dihydroxyestra-1,3,5(10)-triene (1e). Yield of 94%. Mp: 196.3–196.5 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, methanol- d_4): δ 0.78 (broad s, 3H), 1.00–2.05 (m, 10H), 2.30 (d, J=14.6 Hz, 1H), 2.45–2.69 (m, 2H), 2.81 (dd, J=10.5, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 3.24 (s, 1H), 3.42 (broad t, $J_{HF}=21$ Hz, 1H), 3.58 (t, J=7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.36 (s, 1H), 6.43 (dd, J=8.7, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (d, J=8.7 Hz, 1H). 13 C NMR (75 MHz, methanol- d_4): δ 13.5, 24.0, 28.5, 31.0, 31.7, 37.6, 38.4, 39.6 (t, $J_{CF}=19$ Hz), 43.7, 49.7, 53.8, 83.8, 113.7, 115.9, 128.3, 130.1, 139.7, 155.7. 19 F NMR (188 MHz, methanol- d_4): δ -80.0 (t, J=9.1 Hz, 3F), -100.1 (m, 2F), -117.1 (m, 2F), -119.2 (m, 2F), -120.4 (m, 2F), -123.9 (m, 2F). IR (cm⁻¹, KBr): 3328, 2954, 2910, 1234. MS neg. ESI (m/z): 635 [M + formate], 625.2 [M + Cl⁻], 589 [M - 1]. [α] 25 _D 92.8 (c=0.54, methanol- d_4). HRMS: obsd 590.1483, calcd 590.1490 (C_{24} H₂₃O₂F₁₃).

Supporting Information Available: Experimental details and characterization data of compounds **7**, **11b–15b**. Copies of ¹H, ¹⁹F (if relevant), and ¹³C NMR spectra of compounds **1e**, **5–7**, **9–12**, **14**, **15b**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JO051424K